

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/955,259	ANNIBALI, NESTOR	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.	1636	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.

(2) Zhaoyang Li, Ph.D.

**Status of Application: Allowance**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 February 2006

**Time:** 12:30 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*4*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Interview was performed to discuss an Examiner's Amendment to add the address of the depository, ATCC, to the Specification.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)